



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/476,415

12/30/1999

DALE SANDBERG

3855.29

7821

21999

7590

06/24/2008

KIRTON AND MCCONKIE

60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE,

SUITE 1800

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

ALTSCHUL, AMBER L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/24/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/476,415	Applicant(s) SANDBERG, DALE	
	Examiner AMBER L. ALTSCHUL	Art Unit 3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David Conklin. (3)_____.

(2) Amber Altschul. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,924,074 to Evans; U.S. Patent No. 5,732,221 to Feldon et al.; U.S. Patent No. 5,772,585 to Lavin et al.; U.S. Patent No. 6,341,265 to Provost et al.; U.S. Patent No. 6,434,531 to Lancelot et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim rejections will be reconsidered in light of formally written amendments to the claims. No agreements were reached with respect to the application of the identified prior art to the rejections of the claims. Applicants are going to amend the claim discussed to better convey their invention. The possible changes to Claim 21 may overcome the identified prior art. Another search would be required based on the discussed amendments to claim 21.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Amber L Altschul/
Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required